# Sovereign Patent Funds and Private Investment Funds: Strategic Instruments for Innovation-Led Growth in Emerging Economies

### **Abstract**

In an increasingly knowledge-based global economy, intellectual property (IP), particularly **patents**, has become a critical determinant of national competitiveness. Emerging as a new strategic policy tool to manage and leverage these assets is the Sovereign Patent Fund (SPF).

This article examines the SPFs and Private Investment Funds (PIFs) as complementary instruments for fostering innovation-led growth, with particular focus on their relevance to emerging economies such as India.

By comparing state-backed and market-driven models of IP investment, the article highlights how these mechanisms can strengthen domestic innovation ecosystems, enhance technology sovereignty, and attract global capital.

#### **Introduction: IP as New Growth Frontier**

The global economy is undergoing a structural transformation driven by intangible assets, including patents, algorithms, software, brands, and data. Intellectual Property is emerging as a core asset class driving economic competitiveness. This shift has prompted countries to explore SPFs as a strategic alternative to traditional Sovereign Wealth Funds (SWFs) and Pension Funds (PFs).

## The Global IP Landscape

- Cross-border IP payments surpassed USD 1 trillion in 2022, reflecting a doubling of capital flows since 2010.
- IP payments currently account for approximately 7.5% of global services trade.
- Countries such as India face a significant imbalance, they pay substantially more in IP royalties abroad than they earn from foreign licensees or domestic IP exports.
- The high cost of proprietary technologies and software licenses creates an innovation access gap, particularly for micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), a gap that SPFs and PIFs aim to address.

## Sovereign Patent Funds: Strategic Instruments of National Technology Policy

A Sovereign Patent Fund is a government-backed entity established to acquire, manage, and license IP assets in alignment with national industrial and innovation goals. Unlike SWFs, which focus on financial or tangible assets, SPFs are specifically geared toward the knowledge economy.

#### **Core Functions:**

• Patent acquisition: Securing strategic IP assets aligned with national priorities

- Foreign litigation mitigation: Defending domestic industries against aggressive crossborder patent enforcement
- Technology transfer enablement: Facilitating access to advanced technologies for domestic enterprises

The first SPF globally was South Korea's *Intellectual Discovery (ID)* established in 2010, followed by *France Brevets* and Japan's *Innovation Network Corporation (INCJ)*. These pioneering funds differ substantially in structure, capitalization and focus, reflecting diverse national innovation strategies.

These funds serve two primary purposes:

- **Defensive:** Shielding domestic industries from aggressive foreign patent enforcement and preventing foreign control of strategic technologies.
- **Developmental:** Enabling affordable access to advanced technologies for domestic enterprises and building national IP portfolios through strategic patent acquisition, licensing, and selective enforcement.

### **SPF Proposed Role in India**

India's National Electronics Policy (NEP) 2019 explicitly proposes establishing an SPF to acquire strategic IP in critical sectors: semiconductors, renewable energy, healthcare, advanced manufacturing, telecom and advanced technologies. Beyond defensive protection, India's SPF would serve a developmental mandate, making advanced technologies accessible to domestic enterprises and reducing the nation's substantial IP royalty deficit.

The fund's objectives include:

## **Encourage joint R&D**

(through local manufacturing and patent co-development)

# Bridge the innovation divide

(by acquiring global patents and providing affordable licenses to MSMEs and startups)

# Democratizing technology access

(by reducing dependence on imported IP and enhancing India's bargaining power in global technology markets)

India's SPF could adopt a hybrid model with three key features:

• **Structure**: A sovereign anchor fund, capitalized by government and public financial institutions, co-investing alongside Private Investment Funds (PIFs) focused on IP-driven enterprises.

- **Model Integration:** This approach blends state-led policy alignment with market-oriented efficiency, enabling sustainable commercialization and reducing public sector operational burden.
- **Governance:** The SPF may operate as either a dedicated statutory body or a Public-Private Partnerships ("PPPs"), combining public accountability with private sector expertise.

## **Governance and Policy Design**

Successful SPF implementation requires robust, legal and operational architecture.

Pillar	Focus Area	Key Features & Proposed Model
Governance	Oversight and Management	- Dedicated statutory body or Independent
Structure		Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV), potentially
		modeled on existing institutions (NIIF/SIDBI).
		- Clear governance hierarchy with defined
		roles for government oversight and private
		management.
		- Combines public accountability mechanisms
		with private-sector operational efficiency.
Legal & Compliance	Regulatory Framework	- Compliance with TRIPS, FRAND, aligned
Alignment		with national competition law to prevent anti-
		competitive practices.
		- Ensures non-discriminatory licensing and
		transparent patent acquisition.
		- Conducts regular public audits to prevent
		protectionism.
Financial	Funding and Ownership	- Public-Private Partnership (PPP) framework.
Sustainability		- Public funding as initial seed capital only.
		- Gradual transition towards majority-private
		ownership over 5-7 year period, with public
		seed funding phased out.
		- Sustained by private investment and licensing
		revenues.
IP Valuation and	Asset Management	- Transparent procedures for patent valuation
Due Diligence		and assessing commercialization potential.
		- Regular portfolio review cycles to identify
		and divest dormant or obsolete IP assets.
<b>Licensing Models</b>	Revenue & Access	- Tiered pricing structures.
		- Equitable royalty sharing frameworks.
		- Ensure affordability and accessibility for
		MSMEs and research institutions.
		- Preserve fair compensation for IP holders.

Cross-Border	Risk Mitigation and Global	- Active collaboration with global patent pools
Collaboration	Integration	(e.g., Japan's IP Bridge, Korea's ID).
		- Enables sharing best practices and helps
		standardize international licensing norms.
		- Mitigates geopolitical and compliance risks

### **Debate and Controversy**

The emergence of SPFs has generated significant international debate, with perspective ranging from cautious support to vocal criticism.

#### **Proponents argue that SPFs represent:**

- A necessary and innovative policy tool to correct market failures in IP access and innovation funding.
- A defensive mechanism protecting domestic industries from aggressive cross-border patent litigation.
- Essential institutional capacity, providing capital and legal expertise that SMEs cannot access independently.

## Critics raise two principal concerns:

- Market distortion risk: SPFs could function as "state-sponsored patent trolls," using public funds for aggressive and potentially discriminatory litigation against foreign competitors, thereby distorting global IP markets.
- Industrial protectionism: State-backed IP acquisition and enforcement may constitute a new form of hidden protectionism, undermining the multilateral trading system and principles of fair competition.

This tension between developmental necessity and market-distortion risk emphasizes the importance of transparent governance frameworks and international coordination, principles that underscore both SPF design and the role of complementary private capital mechanisms.

#### Private Investment Funds (PIFs): The Market-Driven Counterpart

PIFs include private equity, venture capital, and hedge fund vehicles that represent the marketdriven counterpart to state-backed SPFs. These funds pool capital from institutional investors and high-net-worth individuals to generate returns through strategic investments in innovation-driven companies, emerging technologies, and patent portfolios.

#### PIFs in Indian Jurisdiction

In India, PIFs are formally regulated under the *Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI)* through the *Alternative Investment Fund (AIF)* framework, which categorizes funds into three types:

- Category I AIFs: Venture capital and early-stage innovation funds focused on emerging companies and new technologies.
- Category II AIFs: Private equity and debt funds targeting mature enterprises and infrastructure projects.
- Category III AIFs: Hedge funds or funds employing complex trading strategies, including leverage and derivatives.

PIFs, also referred to as *Private Innovation Funds or Venture AIFs* - invest in IP-rich startups, R&D ventures, and emerging technologies sectors such as biotechnology, renewable energy, fintech, and artificial intelligence. By mobilizing private capital innovation-driven domains, these funds complement the objectives of state-backed SPFs.

PIFs facilitate commercialization through three principal mechanisms:

- **Identifying high-potential assets:** Sourcing undervalued or IP assets with commercial potential.
- **Financing enforcement:** Supporting patent prosecution, litigation, and defensive strategies to protect portfolio value.
- **Enabling monetization:** Structuring licensing and technology transfer arrangements that convert IP into a viable financial return for investors.

The rise of IP-backed financing and royalty-based investment models has established patents as an emerging asset class and form of collateral in global finance. In India, institutions such as Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI) Venture Capital and the National Investment and Infrastructure Fund (NIIF), alongside emerging Category I and II AIFs, are exploring IP-linked investment strategies. Globally, funds such as RPX Corporation, Intellectual Ventures, and IP Bridge have demonstrated how private capital can be effectively deployed around patent portfolios to generate sustained, innovation-driven returns.

## **Intersecting Pathways: Public Purpose Meets Private Capital**

Emerging innovation in finance architecture operates through complementary channels: SPFs secure and safeguard strategically important technology capabilities, while PIFs unlock and scale them commercially. Together, these mechanisms create a closed-loop innovation ecosystem wherein sovereign-backed IP portfolios seed private-sector commercialization and growth.

#### The Hybrid Model for Shared Value:

- **SPF Role:** Acquires critical patents in areas such as green technologies or advanced materials and then licenses them to domestic firms at affordable rates.
- **Private Capital Role:** PIFs provide growth financing for R&D expansion, commercialization, and scaling of SPF-licensed technologies within the domestic market.
- Mutual Benefits: Risk is distributed across public and private sectors, innovation accelerates through aligned incentives, and value accumulates within the domestic innovation ecosystem.

International blueprints, such as Japan's INCJ and Korea's ID, demonstrate successful partnerships between state-backed entities, private funds and industry consortia. These examples illustrate how public-sector policy objectives and private-sector profit incentives can be aligned within a cohesive, shared innovation agenda where both constituencies benefit.

#### **Policy Implications for Growing Economies**

For economies transitioning from industrial to knowledge-based systems, SPFs and PIFs offer complementary frameworks for balanced innovation financing. The policy implications are substantial and multifaceted:

- ➤ Technology Sovereignty: SPFs enable nations to secure access to critical technologies and reduce dependency on foreign IP providers and mitigating geopolitical vulnerabilities in critical sectors.
- ➤ Capital Deepening: PIFs attract foreign direct investment and mobilize domestic capital into innovation-driven sectors, expanding financial resources available for R&D and commercialization.
- ➤ Inclusive Innovation: Lower-cost access to advanced technologies through SPF licensing reduces barriers for MSMEs and startups, democratizing innovation across company sizes.
- ➤ IP Monetization Ecosystems: Structured frameworks for IP valuation, licensing, and securitization, create new channels for converting intangible assets into financial returns and collateral for enterprise growth.
- ➤ Human Capital Development: Expansion of high-skilled employment in R&D, IP law, IP management and innovation finance strengthens domestic professional capabilities.

However, the success of these frameworks depends critically on three pillars: transparent governance structures, internationally harmonized IP valuation standards, and regulatory clarity regarding competitive compliance. Without these safeguards, the risk of protectionism, market inefficiency, and concentrated IP control could offset developmental benefits and invite international criticism or legal challenges.

#### **Conclusion: The Future of IP-Driven Growth**

As the global economy transitions from tangible to intangible value creation, IP is emerging as the new sovereign asset class. SPFs and PIFs, though distinct in ownership and intent, represent two pillars of a cohesive innovation finance framework.

India's proposed SPF, particularly in advanced technology domains, must avoid the pitfalls of opacity, strategic interventionism, and unsustainable public funding. By adopting a transparent, market-aligned PPP structure that ensures global compliance with competition law and IP standards, India can position its SPF as both a defensive shield against foreign IP enforcement and a developmental catalyst for domestic innovation. This approach would establish India as a leader in the knowledge-economy governance and demonstrate a viable model for other emerging economies.

While long-term outcome remains uncertain, SPFs and PIFs represent a significant shift in how nations view and utilize intellectual property. IP has transformed from a purely legal right held by individual firms into a strategic national economic asset. The one that can be actively managed and leveraged as part of state policy for competitive advantage and inclusive innovation. This transformation will likely shape global innovation, trade and financial architecture for decades to come.